# ON THE OUTER AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF TRIANGULAR ALTERNATION LIMIT ALGEBRAS

S. C. Power

Department of Mathematics

University of Lancaster

Lancaster LA1 4YF

England.

## **ABSTRACT**

Let A denote the alternation limit algebra, studied by Hopenwasser and Power, and by Poon, which is the closed direct limit of upper triangular matrix algebras determined by refinement embeddings of multiplicity  $r_k$  and standard embeddings of multiplicity  $s_k$ . It is shown that the quotient of the isometric automorphism group by the approximately inner automorphisms is the abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  where d is the number of primes that are divisors of infinitely many terms of each of the sequences  $(r_k)$  and  $(s_k)$ . This group is also the group of automorphisms of the fundamental relation of A.

# 1 Introduction

In Hopenwasser and Power [HP] and in Poon [Po] the alternation limit algebras described below were classified. In this note we determine the quotient group  $Out_{isom}A = Aut_{isom}A/I(A)$  for these algebras where  $Aut_{isom}A$  is the group of isometric algebra automorphisms and I(A) is the normal subgroup of AutA of approximately inner automorphisms. An automorphism  $\alpha$  is said to be approximately inner if there exists a sequence  $(b_k)$  of invertible elements such that  $\alpha(a) = \lim_k b_k a b_k^{-1}$  for all a in A.

Let  $(r_k)$ ,  $(s_k)$  be sequences of positive integers. Write  $T(r_k, s_k)$  for the Banach algebra limit of the system

$$\mathbb{C} \to T_{r_1} \to T_{r_1s_1} \to T_{r_1s_1r_2} \to \dots,$$

where  $T_n$  is the algebra of upper triangular  $n \times n$  complex matrices and where the embeddings are unital and are alternately of refinement type  $(\rho(a) = (a_{ij}1_t)$ , with  $1_t$  the  $t \times t$  identity and of standard type  $(\sigma(a) = a \oplus \ldots \oplus a, t \text{ times})$ .

**Theorem 1**  $Out_{isom}(T(r_k, s_k)) = \mathbb{Z}^d$  where d is the number of primes p that are divisors of infinitely many terms of each of the sequences  $(r_k)$  and  $(s_k)$ . (If  $d = \infty$  interpret  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  as the countably generated free abelian group.)

The proof uses the methods of [HP]. A major step is to characterise the automorphism group of the fundamental relation, or semigroupoid, which is associated with an alternation algebra. This order-topological result is of independent interest and is stated and proved separately below.

Let r and s be the generalised integers  $r_1r_2...$ , and  $s_1s_2...$  respectively and suppose that p is a prime satisfying the condition in the statement of the theorem. Then  $p^{\infty}$  divides r and s. Thus we can arrange new formal products  $r = t_1t_2...$ ,  $s = u_1u_2...$ , with  $t_k = u_k = p$  for all odd k. As noted in [HP], because of the commutation of refinement and standard embeddings, we can easily display a commuting zig zag diagram to show that  $T(r_k, s_k)$  and  $T(t_k, u_k)$  are isometrically isomorphic. However, with the new formal product we can construct one of the generators of  $Out_{isom}A$ . Consider the automorphism  $\alpha$  determined

by the following commuting diagram where the matrix algebras are omitted for notational economy.

It will be shown below that  $\alpha$  provides a nonzero coset and that the totality of such cosets provides a generating set for the isometric outer automorphism group.

# 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let X, or  $X(r_k, s_k)$ , be the Cantor space

$$X = \prod_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \{1, \dots, s_{-k}\} \times \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{1, \dots, r_k\},$$

where we have fixed the sequences  $(r_k)$  and  $(s_k)$ . Define the equivalence relation  $\tilde{R}$  on X to consist of the pairs (x,y) of points  $x=(x_k),y=(y_k)$  in X with  $x_k=y_k$  for all large enough and small enough k.  $\tilde{R}$  carries a natural locally compact Hausdorff topology (giving it the structure of an approximately finite groupoid). Write R, or  $R(r_k,s_k)$ , for the antisymmetric topologised subrelation of  $\tilde{R}$  consisting of pairs (x,y) in R for which x preceds y in the lexicographic order. Thus  $(x,y) \in R$  if and only if  $(x,y) \in \tilde{R}$  and, either x=y, or for the smallest k for which  $x_k \neq y_k$  we have  $x_k < y_k$ .

An automorphism of  $R(r_k, s_k)$  is a binary relation isomorphism (implemented by a bijection  $\alpha$  of the underlying space X), which is a homeomorphism for the (relative groupoid) topology of  $R(r_k, s_k)$ . Necessarily  $\alpha$  is a homeomorphism of X.

**Theorem 2** The group of automorphisms of the topological binary relation  $R(r_k, s_k)$ 

is  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  where d is the number of primes which divide infinitely many terms of each of the sequences  $(r_k)$  and  $(s_k)$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(x)}$  denote the closure of the R-orbit of the point x in X. Here  $\mathcal{O}(x) = \{y : (y,x) \in R\}$ . Recall from [HP] that the pair of points  $x,x^+$  is called a  $gap\ pair$  if  $x^+ \notin \overline{\mathcal{O}(x)}$  and

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}(x^+)} = \overline{\mathcal{O}(x)} \cup \{x\}.$$

Furthermore  $x, x^+$  is a gap pair if and only if

- 1) there exists n such that  $x_m = 1$  for all  $m \le n$ ,
- 2) there exists p such that  $x_q = r_q$  for all  $q \ge p$ .

Also if p is the smallest integer for which 2) holds (with  $r_p = s_{-p}$  if p is negative), then  $x^+$  is given by

$$(x^{+})_{j} = \begin{cases} x_{j} & \text{if } j$$

The usefulness of this for our purpose is that an automorphism  $\alpha$  of R necessarily maps gap pairs to gap pairs and so the coordinate description of these pairs leads ultimately to a coordinate description of  $\alpha$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of R. Consider the (left) gap point  $x_* = (\ldots, 1, 1, \hat{1}, r_1, r_2, \ldots)$  where  $\hat{1}$  indicates the coordinate position for  $s_1$ . Then  $\alpha(x_*)$  is necessarily a (left) gap point, thus

$$\alpha(x_*) = (\ldots, 1, 1, z_{-t+1}, z_{-t}, \ldots, z_{t-1}, r_t, r_{t+1}, \ldots)$$

for some positive integer t. We have

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}(x_*)} = \{ x = (\dots, 1, \hat{1}, x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_k \le r_k \text{ for all } k \},$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}(\alpha(x_*))} = \{ y = (\dots, 1, w', y_t, y_{t+1}, \dots) \},$$

where  $y_k \leq r_k$  for all  $k \geq t$  and where w' is any word of length 2t - 2 which preceds (or is equal to) the word  $w = z_{-t+1}, z_{-t}, \dots, z_{t-1}$  in the lexicographic order. Restating this, we

have natural homeomorphisms

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}(x_*)} \approx \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{1, \dots, r_k\}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}(\alpha(x_*))} \approx \{1, \dots, n\} \times \prod_{k=t}^{\infty} \{1, \dots, r_t\}$$

where n is the number of words w'. Moreoever, these identifying homeomorphisms induce isomorphisms between the restrictions  $R|\overline{\mathcal{O}(x_*)}$  and  $R|\overline{\mathcal{O}(\alpha(x_*))}$  and the unilateral relations  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , respectively, where  $R_1 = R(r_k, u_k)$ , with  $u_k = 1$  for all k, and  $R_2 = R(r'_k, u_k)$ , with  $u_k$  as before,  $r'_1 = n$ , and  $r'_k = r_{k+t-2}$  for  $k = 2, 3, \ldots$  Since  $\alpha$  induces an isomorphism between the restrictions, we obtain an induced isomorphism  $\beta$  between  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ . It is well-known that this means that r = r' where  $r = r_1 r_2 \ldots$  and  $r' = r'_1 r'_2 \ldots$  are generalised integers. (See [P2] for example). Thus we obtain the necessary condition that the integer n is a divisor of the generalised integer r.

We shall now improve on this necessary condition.

The isomorphism between  $R|\overline{\mathcal{O}(x_*)}$  and  $R|\overline{\mathcal{O}(\alpha(x_*))}$  is given explicity by

$$\alpha: (\dots 1, \hat{1}, x_1, x_2, \dots) \to (\dots 1, w', y_t, y_{t+1}, \dots)$$

where

$$\frac{\|w'\| - 1}{n} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(y_{t+k-1} - 1)}{n m_{t+k-1}} m_{t-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_k - 1}{m_k},\tag{1}$$

where ||w'|| is the cardinality of the set of points in the order interval from the (2t-2)-tuple  $(1,1,\ldots,1)$  to w', and where  $m_k=r_1r_2\ldots r_k$  for  $k=1,2\ldots$ . The identity (1) follows from the fact that there are unique canonical R-invariant probability measures on  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(x_*)}$  and on  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\alpha(x_*))}$  and the quantities in (1) are the measures of the subsets  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\alpha(x))}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(x)}$  respectively.

To verify these facts one must recall how the topology of a topological binary relation is defined. In the case of  $R_1 = R|\overline{\mathcal{O}(x_*)}$  fix two words

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell) \le (x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_\ell)$$

in lexicographic order. Then the set E of pairs

$$((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\ell}, z_{\ell+1}, z_{\ell+2}, \dots), (x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_{\ell}, z_{\ell+1}, z_{\ell+2}, \dots))$$

is, by definition, a basic open and closed subset for the topology. Notice that for this set, the left and right coordinate projection maps,  $\pi_{\ell}: E \to \overline{\mathcal{O}(x_*)}$ ,  $\pi_r: \to \overline{\mathcal{O}(x_*)}$ , are injective. In the language of groupoids, E is a G-set. If  $\lambda$  is a Borel measure such that  $\lambda(\pi_{\ell}(E)) = \lambda(\pi_r(E))$  for all closed and open G-sets E, then  $\lambda$  is said to be R-invariant. It is easy to see that this requirement forces  $\lambda$  to be the product measure  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \ldots$  where  $\lambda_k$  is the uniformly distributed probability measure on  $\{1, \ldots, r_k\}$ . (One can also bear in mind that R-invariant measures are also  $\tilde{R}$ -invariant, where  $\tilde{R}$  is the topological equivalence relation (i.e. groupoid) generated by R, and that the  $\tilde{R}$ -invariant measures correspond to traces on the C\*-algebra of  $\tilde{R}$ . In our context  $C^*(\tilde{R})$  is UHF, and the R-invariant measure corresponds to the unique trace.)

Let  $\nu(x)$  denote the right hand quantity of (1). Then the coordinates for  $\alpha(x)$  are calculated from the identity (1), bearing in mind that the ambiguity arising from the equality  $\nu(x) = \nu(x^+)$ , for a gap pair  $x, x^+$ , is resolved by the known correspondence of left and right gap points.

Note that if x is in  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(x_*)}$ , and  $\alpha(x) = y = (y_k)$ , and ||w'|| = 1 (so that  $y_{-t+1}, y_{-t}, \dots, y_t$  are all equal to 1), then, by (1),

$$\nu(\alpha(x)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{y_k - 1}{m_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{y_{t+k-1} - 1}{m_{t+k-1}} = \frac{n\nu(x)}{m_{t-1}}.$$

We have obtained the identity  $\nu(\alpha(x)) = c\nu(x)$ , with  $c = n/m_{t-1}$ , for all points x in  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(x_*)}$  for which  $\nu(x)$  is small. In fact, because of the R-invariance of the measures on  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(x_*)}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\alpha(x_*))}$ , which we shall call  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  respectively, it follows that  $\nu(\alpha(x)) = c\nu(x)$  for all points x for which  $\alpha(x) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(x_*)}$ . To be more precise about this, consider the left gap points

$$g = (\dots 1, \hat{1}, 1, \dots, 1, r_{\ell+1}, \dots),$$

$$x = (\dots 1, \hat{1}, w, r_{\ell}, r_{\ell+1}, \dots),$$

$$x' = (\dots 1, \hat{1}, w, r_{\ell} - 1, r_{\ell+1}, \dots),$$

where w is some word  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{\ell-1}$ . Note that the set

$$E = \{((\ldots 1, \hat{1}, w, r_{\ell}, z_{\ell+1}, z_{\ell+2}, \ldots), (\ldots 1, \hat{1}, \ldots, 1, z_{\ell+1}, z_{\ell+2}, \ldots)) : z_j \le r_j\}$$

has  $\pi_{\ell}(E) = \overline{\mathcal{O}(x)} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{O}(x')}$  and  $\pi_r(E) = \overline{\mathcal{O}(g)}$ , and so  $\nu(g) = \nu(x) - \nu(x')$ . Since  $\alpha$  preserves orbits and G-sets we also deduce that

$$\nu(\alpha(g)) = \lambda_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}(\alpha(g))}) = \lambda_1(\pi_r((\alpha \times \alpha)(E)))$$

$$= \lambda_1(\pi_\ell((\alpha \times \alpha)(E))) = \lambda_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}(\alpha(x))} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{O}(\alpha(x'))})$$

$$= \nu(\alpha(x)) - \nu(\alpha(x')).$$

Thus, if we choose  $\ell$  large, so that we know that  $\nu(\alpha(g)) = c\nu(g)$ , we deduce that

$$\nu(\alpha(x)) - \nu(\alpha(x')) = \nu(\alpha(g)) = c\nu(g) = c(\nu(x) - \nu(x')),$$

from which it follows that  $\nu(\alpha(x)) = c(\nu(x))$  for general points x with  $\alpha(x)$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(x')}$ .

We can similarly extend this identity to points in the set

$$X_0 = \{(y_k) \in X : \exists k_0 \text{ such that } y_k = 1 \text{ for all } k \leq k_0\}$$

and the extension of  $\nu$  given by

$$\nu(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (y_{-k} - 1) s_0 s_1 \dots s_{k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{y_k - 1}{m_k}$$

for y in  $X_0$ , where  $s_0 = 1$ . The range of  $\nu$  on the gap points of  $X_0$  is the additive cone of rationals of the form  $\ell/m_k$  for some  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$  and some natural number  $\ell$ . The identity  $\nu(\alpha(x)) = c\nu(x)$  for x in  $X_0$  shows that multiplication by c is a bijection of the cone. From this we obtain the necessary condition that c has the form

$$c = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_d^{a_d}$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq d$ , and where  $p_1, \dots p_d$  are primes which divide infinitely many terms of the sequence  $(r_k)$ .

We now improve further on this condition by considering the fact that  $\alpha$  is a homeomorphism of X and is determined by its restriction to  $X_0$ .

Suppose, by way of contradiction, that  $a_1 \neq 0$  and that  $p_1$  does not divide infinitely many terms of the sequence  $(s_k)$ . Note that c only depends on  $\alpha$ , thus, replacing  $\alpha$  by its inverse if necessary, we may assume that  $a_1 > 0$ . By relabelling we may also assume that  $p_1$  divides no terms of the sequence. Without loss of generality assume that  $s_1 > 1$  and consider the proper clopen subset E of points  $y = (y_k)$  in X with  $y_{-1} = 1$ . We show that  $\alpha(E)$  is dense, which is the desired contradiction. Observe first that the range of  $\nu$  on  $E \cap X_0$  is the union of the intervals  $[ks_1, ks_1 + 1]$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  Pick x in  $X_0$  arbitrarily, pick j large, and consider the countable set

$$F_j(x) = \{x' \in X_0 : x' = (x'_k) \text{ and } x'_k = x_k \text{ for all } k \ge -j\}.$$

The range of  $\nu$  on  $F_j(x)$  is an arithmetic progression of period  $s_1s_2...s_j$ . In view of the identity  $\nu(\alpha(y)) = c\nu(y)$ , the range of  $\nu$  on  $\alpha(E) \cap X_0$  is the union of the intervals  $[cks_1, cks_1 + c]$ , which is an arithmetic progression of intervals of period  $cs_1$ . It follows from our hypothesis on  $p_1$  that one of these intervals contains a point in  $\nu(F_j(x))$ , and so  $\alpha(E)$  meets  $F_j(x)$ . Since the intersection of the sets  $F_1(x), F_2(x), \ldots$  is the singleton x, it follows that x lies in the closure of  $\alpha(E)$ . Since  $X_0$  is dense it follows that  $\alpha(E)$  is dense as desired.

We have now shown that if  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $R = R(r_k, s_k)$ , then  $\nu(\alpha(x)) = c\nu(x)$  for all x in  $X_0$  where c has the form  $c = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_d^{a_d}$  where  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  are integers and where  $p_1, \dots p_d$  are primes which divide infinitely many terms of  $(r_k)$  and of  $(s_k)$ . It is also clear from the above that for each such c there is at most one automorphism  $\alpha$  satisfying the identity  $\nu(\alpha(x)) = c\nu(x)$ . It follows that the map

$$\alpha \to (a_1, \ldots, a_d)$$

is an injective group homomorphism from  $\operatorname{Aut} R$  to  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . (d may be infinite.) It remains to show that this map is surjective. One way to do this is to start with c of the required form above and to show that the bijection of  $X_0$  induced by multiplication by c (that is, the bijection  $\alpha$  satisfying  $\nu(\alpha(x)) = c\nu(x)$ ) does extend to an order preserving homeomorphism of X which defines an automorphism of R. Another way, which we now follow, is to make the connection between  $R(r_k, s_k)$  and  $T(r_k, s_k)$ , and to determine generators of  $\operatorname{Aut} R$  in terms of commuting diagrams, as we indicated after the statement of Theorem 1.

Consider the diagram

$$\mathbf{C} \stackrel{\rho_{r_1}}{\to} M_{r_1} \stackrel{\sigma_{s_1}}{\to} M_{s_1} \otimes M_{r_1} \stackrel{\rho_{r_2}}{\to} M_{s_1} \otimes M_{r_1} \otimes M_{r_2} \stackrel{\sigma_{s_2}}{\to} \dots B$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$

$$\mathbf{C} \stackrel{\rho_{r_1}}{\to} T_{r_1} \stackrel{\sigma_{s_1}}{\to} T_{s_1r_1} \stackrel{\rho_{r_2}}{\to} T_{s_1r_1r_2} \stackrel{\sigma_{s_2}}{\to} \dots A$$

The vertical maps are inclusions, where  $T_{s_1r_1r_2}$ , for example, is realised in terms of the lexicographic order on the indices (i, j, k) of the minimal projections  $e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj} \otimes e_{kk}$  in  $M_{s_1} \otimes M_{r_1} \otimes M_{r_2}$ . (For more detail concerning this discussion, read the introduction of [HP].) The maximal ideal space of the diagonal C\*-algebra  $A \cap A^*$  is naturally identified with the space X. Indeed,  $x = (x_k)$  in X corresponds to the point in the intersection of the Gelfand supports of the projections

$$e(x, N) = e_{x_{-N-N}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{x_{-1,-1}} \otimes e_{x_{1,1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{x_{N,N}}$$

for  $N = 1, 2, \ldots$  Furthermore, (x, y) belongs to  $R = R(r_k, s_k)$  if and only if for all large N there is a matrix unit in the appropriate upper triangular matrix algebra with initial projection e(y, N) and final projection e(x, N). (In fact R is the fundamental relation of the limit algebra A.)

Suppose now that  $r_k = s_k = p$  for all odd k and let  $\alpha$  be the isometric automorphism of  $T(r_k, s_k)$  determined by the diagram given in the introduction. Let  $\alpha$  also denote the induced automorphism of R. We prove that  $\nu(\alpha(x)) = p^{-1}\nu(x)$ , completing the proof of the theorem.

Let us calculate  $\alpha(e(x,N))$ , where N is even,  $x=(\ldots,1,\hat{1},2,1,\ldots)$ , and where we abuse notation somewhat and write e(x,N) for the image of e(x,N) in the limit algebra. Let  $d(N)=s_N\ldots s_1r_1\ldots r_N$ , and let e(x,N) occupy position a(N) in the lexicographic ordering of the d(N) matrix units. Consider the following part of the diagram defining  $\alpha$ .

Then

$$\rho_p(e(x,N)) = \sum_{k=1}^p e(x,N) \otimes e_{kk}.$$

On the other hand  $\sigma_p(e(x, N))$  is the summation of the diagonal matrix units in positions  $a(N), a(N) + d(N), \ldots, a(N) + (p-1)d(N)$  in the lexicographic order. Let these projections correspond to the matrix unit tensors with subscripts  $z^{(i)} = (z_{-N}^{(i)}, \ldots z_{N+1}^{(i)})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , and denote the projections themselves by  $f_1, \ldots f_p$ , respectively. It follows (from the partial diagram above) that the homeomorphism  $\alpha: X \to X$  maps the support of e(x, N) onto the union of the supports of  $f_1, \ldots, f_p$ . Denote these supports by  $E(x, N), F_1, \ldots, F_p$  respectively. Since  $X_0$  is invariant for  $\alpha$ ,

$$\alpha(E(x,N)\cap X_0) = \bigcup_{k=1}^p F_k \cap X_0.$$

Notice that x is the unique point in  $E(x, N) \cap X_0$  with the property that if  $y \in E(x, N) \cap X_0$  and  $(x, y) \in \tilde{R}$  then  $(x, y) \in R$ . The point in the union of  $F_1 \cap X_0, \dots, F_p \cap X_0$  with this minimum property is the point

$$u = (\dots 1 \ 1 \ z_{-N}^{(1)}, \dots, z_{N+1}^{(1)}, 1, 1, \dots)$$

and so  $\alpha(x)=u$ . Finally one can verify that  $\nu(x)=p^{-1}$  and  $\nu(u)=p^{-2}$ , as desired.  $\square$ 

Recall that the fundamental relation R(A) of a canonical triangular subalgebra A of an AF C\*-algebra B is the topological binary relation on the Gelfand space  $M(A \cap A^*)$  induced by the partial isometries of A which normalise  $A \cap A^*$ . (See [P2].) In [HP] we identified R(A), for  $A = T(r_k, s_k)$ , with  $R(r_k, s_k)$ . (This identification is also effected in the proof above by virtue of the fact that a matrix unit system determines R(A).) Let  $\beta$  be an isometric automorphism of A. Then  $\beta$  induces an automorphism of R(A) (because  $\beta(A \cap A^*) = A \cap A^*$  and  $\beta$  maps the normaliser onto itself). Thus  $\beta$  determines an automorphism of  $R(r_k, s_k)$  and so by the last theorem there is an isometric automorphism  $\alpha$  of A such that  $\gamma = \alpha^{-1} \circ \beta$  induces the trivial automorphism of  $R(r_k, s_k)$ . This means that  $\gamma$  is an isometric automorphism with  $\gamma$  equal to the identity map on  $A \cap A^*$ .

**Lemma** Let  $\gamma$  be an automorphism of  $T(r_k, s_k)$  which is the identity on the diagonal subalgebra (and which is not necessarily isometric). Then  $\gamma$  is approximately inner.

Proof: Let  $A = T(r_k, s_k)$  and let  $A_1 \to A_2 \to \dots$  be the direct system defining A. The hypothesis is that  $\gamma(c) = c$  for all c in  $C = A \cap A^*$ . This ensures that  $\gamma(\tilde{A}_n) = \tilde{A}_n$  where  $\tilde{A}_n$  is the subalgebra generated by  $A_n$  and C. To see this,recall from Lemma 1.2 of [P1] that there are contractive maps  $P_n : A \to \tilde{A}_n$  which are defined in terms of limits of sums of compressions by projections in C, and so, for a in  $\tilde{A}_n$ ,  $\gamma(a) = \gamma(P_n(a)) = P_n(\gamma(a))$ . The restriction automorphism  $\gamma|\tilde{A}_n$  is necessarily inner. Indeed identify  $\tilde{A}_n$  with  $T_r \otimes D$ , for appropriate r, where D is an abelian approximately finite  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $u_i \in D$ ,  $1 \le i \le r - 1$ , be the invertible elements such that  $\gamma(e_{i,i+1}) = e_{i,i+1} \otimes u_i$ . Also set  $u_0 = 1$ . Then it follows that  $\gamma(a) = u^{-1}au$ , where

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{r} e_{i,i} \otimes u_0 u_1 \dots u_{r-1}$$

Furthermore, since  $\gamma(e_{1,r}) = e_{1,r} \otimes u_0 u_1 \dots u_{r-1}$ , it follows that  $||u|| \leq ||\gamma||$ . Similarly  $||u^{-1}|| \leq ||\gamma^{-1}||$ . The inner automorphisms  $Adu^{-1}$ , for varying n, thus form a uniformly bounded sequence which converge pointwise on each  $A_n$ , and so determine an approximately innder automorphism.

It follows from Lemma 1 and the preceding discussion that

$$Aut_{isom}A/I(A) = AutR(A) = \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Remark 1. Suppose that  $\delta \in AutA$ . Then  $\delta$  determines a scaled group homomorphism  $\delta_*: K_0(A) \to K_0(A)$  which preserves the algebraic order on the scale  $\Sigma(A)$  of  $K_0(A)$ . Thus, by the main theorem of [P3], (which can also be found in [P4]) there is an isometric algebra automorphism of A,  $\phi$  say, with  $\phi_* = \delta_*$ . In particular  $\psi = \phi^{-1} \circ \delta$  has  $\psi_*$  trivial. This means that if  $P: A \to A \cap A^*$  is the diagonal expectation, then  $P(\psi(e)) = e$  for each projection e in  $A \cap A^*$ . Thus to show that  $AutA/I(A) = \mathbb{Z}^d$  it remains only to show that such automorphisms  $\psi$  are approximately inner.

**Remark 2.** There are approximately inner automorphisms of alternation algebras which are not inner. To see this, consider the standard limit algebra  $A = \lim_{\longrightarrow} (T_{2^n}, \sigma)$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be a unimodular complex number and let  $d_n = \lambda e_{1,1} + \lambda^2 e_{2,2} + \ldots + \lambda^{2^n} e_{2^n,2^n}$ . Then

 $d_n a d_n^{-1} = d_m a d_m^{-1}$  if  $a \in T_{2^n}$  and m > n, from which it follows that  $\alpha(a) = \lim_n (d_n a d_n^{-1})$  is an isometric approximately inner automorphism.

Suppose now that  $\alpha$  is inner, and  $\alpha(a) = gag^{-1}$  for some invertible g in A. Since  $\alpha(c) = c$  for all c in the masa C it follows that  $g \in C$ . In particular  $\|\alpha - \beta\| \leq \frac{1}{4}$  for some inner automorphism  $\beta$  of the form  $\beta(a) = hah^{-1}$  where, for some large enough  $n, h \in T_{2^n} \cap (T_{2^n})^*$ . However, in  $T_{2^m}$ , for large m, the diagonal element h has matrix entries which are periodic with period  $2^n$ . One can now verify that if  $\lambda$  is chosen so that no power of order  $2^k$  is unity then for large enough m there exist matrix units  $e \in T_{2^m}$  such that  $\|\lambda e - heh^{-1}\| > \frac{1}{4}$ , a contradiction.

**Remark 3.** Let (x, y) be a point in  $R(C^*(A(r_k, s_k)))$  with  $x = (\ldots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ ,  $y = (\ldots, y_{-2}, y_{-1}, y_1, y_2, \ldots)$ . Then, although  $\nu(x)$  and  $\nu(y)$  may be infinite, we may define d(x, y) as the sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (y_{-k} - x_{-k}) s_0 s_1 \dots s_{k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{y_k - x_k}{r_1 r_2 \dots r_k}$$

because only finitely many terms are nonzero. Since d(x,y) = d(x,z) + d(z,y), and  $(x,y) \in R(r_k, s_k)$  if and only if  $d(x,y) \ge 0$ , it follows that d(x,y) is a continuous real valued cocyle determining  $A(r_k, s_k)$  as an analytic subalgebra of  $C^*(A(r_k, s_k))$ . See [V], where some special cases are discussed as well as some general aspects of analyticity.

Added Dec 1992: Unfortunately the proof of the classification of alternation algebras given in [HP] and [P4] appears to be incomplete. (It is not clear, in [P4], whether q can be chosen with the desired properties.) However the present paper is independent of [HP] and the arithmetic progression argument above can be adapted, to the case of an isomorphism  $\alpha$  between two alternation algebras, to show that the supernatural numbers for the standard multiplicities are finitely equivalent.

### References

[HP] A. Hopenwasser and S.C. Power, Classification of limits of triangular matrix algebras, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., to appear.

- [P1] S.C. Power, On ideals of nest subalgebras of C\*-algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc., 50 (1985), 314-332.
- [P2] S.C. Power, The classification of triangular subalgebras of AF C\*-algebras, Bull. London Math. Soc., 22 (1990), 269-272.

- [P3] S.C. Power, Algebraic orders on  $K_0$  and approximately finite operator algebras, preprint 1989, to appear in J. Operator Th.
- [P4] S.C. Power, Limit Algebras: An Introduction to Subalgebras of C\*-algebras, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematic Series, No. 278, Longman, 1992.
- [Po] Y.T. Poon, A complete isomorphism invariant for a class of triangular UHF algebras, preprint 1990.
- [V] B.A. Ventura, Strongly maximal triangular AF algebras, International J. Math., 2 (1991), 567-598.